



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,940	01/22/2002	Richard Ian Walker	PG3736USW	8707
23347 75	590 08/25/2003			
DAVID J LEVY, CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GLAXOSMITHKLINE FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398			EXAMINER	
			DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE	
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NO		27/09-3398	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	ゟ
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,\/V\			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/031,940	WALKER, RICHARD IAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PHILIPPE S DERAKSHANI	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	lanuary 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	153 U.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,12 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>2,4-11 and 13-18</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 and 20 "second wiper" and "second sealing ring" lack proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1, 3, 12, 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riebe (WO 99/06303).

Art Unit: 3754

Riebe shows a valve comprising a valve body 1, valve stem 7 and a sealing ring 9 including a wiper (surface for hole in sealing ring).

Claims 1, 3 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heinzel et al.

Heinzel et al show a valve comprising a valve body 112, valve stem 48 and a sealing ring 60 including a wiper 62.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-20 and 22-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinzel et al in view of Riebe (WO 99/06303).

Heinzel et al lack the stem and seal including a lubricant, the propellant liquefied HFA134a, and a medicament comprising salmeterol xinafoate and fluticasone propionate. Riebe shows an aerosol comprising the stem and seal including a lubricant, the propellant liquefied HFA134a, and a medicament comprising salmeterol xinafoate and fluticasone propionate to dispense medicaments. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Heinzel et al aerosol with the stem and seal including a lubricant, the propellant liquefied HFA134a, and a medicament comprising salmeterol xinafoate and fluticasone propionate as taught by Riebe to dispense a medicament.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4-11, 13-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beard et al was cited to show another example of an aerosol valve.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 703-308-0264. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MANCENE GENE can be reached on 703-308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7766 for regular communications and 703-308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

PHILIPPE S DERAKSHANI

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2754

PD August 22, 2003

(8-220)